

# **PYLA - MADALA CLIQUE - AN ANTI-PARTY CLIQUE : LIES AND FACTS**

---

Some people can deceive some for some time in respect of some issues. But these deceivers cannot deceive all the people on all issues always. More over it is impossible in a Marxist-Leninist Party. The revolutionary movement and a revolutionary party would search and find out all wrong trends and expose them. Then these deceivers could not escape from being exposed.

At present Pyla-Madala clique the anti-party clique, is facing the same fate. For the past three years they are claiming that they are working abiding by the decisions of the party Congress, Plenum documents and the resolutions of the central committee. Thus they have deceiving the Party, the people and central committee. In the first issue of their paper "Praja Pantha" they have once again declared "we are of the unanimous opinion that the political line, Plenum documents and the C.C. resolution "Intensify peoples struggles" have been proved as correct". Even after they have taken a decision to split and go away from the party. This is the statement they have made those who know the facts can understand that their statement is a naked lie. It is known to all that this is intended to deceive others.

## **Opposed to Party Line**

But these same people have written a letter on 6 - 4 - 84 to Com B.D. Singh. In that letter they have written opposing all the policies of the party. They have opposed all the resolutions of the Central Committee meeting held in last March. They have dumped all their vulgar abuses against C.C. They have threatened that the Party in Andhra could not but split. If these things are considered one thing would be clear. That is, their claim that they are still abide by the Party's political line is nothing but a naked lie and cheating only. See what they have written in their letter : "The C.C. has asked the Andhra P.C to declare that it is committed to the C.C. line. This is nothing but forcing the Andhra PC. There is no organisational sanction for the C.C. to demand like this.----- It's meaning is nothing but foisting an allegation on Andhra P.C. somehow or other".

What is the meaning of the above words? They are saying that forc-

ing them to declare that they are supporting the C.C. line is wrong. They are saying that the C.C. has no such organisational right. That means, it is nothing but stating that they are against C.C. line and nobody has got any right to ask them to discard their opposition to C.C. line. Their claim till now that they are steadily following the C.C. line, and now again stating thro' their statement that they are with the C.C. line have been clearly proved as a naked lie and cheating.

It is important to observe on what issues they are opposing. They have written in their letter as follows : "The points in the resolution" intensify the ideological struggle on the negative trends" and the nature of the criticism in it is concentrated on Andhra Comrades.----- We have corrected ourselves with regard to some points. Even then we could not correct ourselves in respect of some points since they are either baseless or objectionable".

What is the meaning of the above sentences? Among the resolutions passed by the Central Committee in the last March this is the most important theoretical resolution. They are declaring themselves clearly that they are against this resolution. But what are the important points in it?

This resolution has once again clarified that the working class party will not resort to form a general political united front with the ruling classes, their parties and revisionist parties. It has clarified that our party is opposed to 'United front against autocratic rule', 'United front against dictatorial rule', and 'Patriotic and democratic united front slogans'. It has clarified that all these slogans are reflections of rightist trend. It is proved clearly that at present all these slogans are disruptive. Similarly, this resolution has specifically opposed the policy of electoral alliances with the ruling classes. It has directly condemned stating that those who are giving these slogans, whether knowingly or unknowingly, are serving the interests of the ruling classes. It has also stated that those who are having these trends are getting encouragement from rightist trend gained upperhand in the leadership of Chinese Communist Party. The resolution directly condemned the slogan of political united front with any section of the ruling classes or the revisionist parties. But these people are themselves declaring that they are opposed to these points and they are objectionable to them. Why these people are wailing that the C.C. resolution is against them? In the last party Congress, the theoretical Pandits of these people (Nizamabad and Adilabad leaders), had differed on these issues. They had written alternate documents. They had written alternate documents supporting the policy of bourgeois election alliance of Madala Narayana Swamy. After the party Congress they had rallied some more people around them in the P.C. Madala had

emerged as the leader of this clique. They know that with this C.C. resolution their game gets exposed. That is why they are opposing the March C.C. resolutions.

## **Opposition to Resistance Struggle :**

The C.C. resolution has once again declared its confidence in the resistance struggle. This is not at all a new slogan. This is a slogan of the party Congress. This is a slogan of the political resolution. This is a slogan that has arisen out of the struggle path. This is a slogan given by the Plenum document. This is a slogan given by the political resolution of the Central Committee.

Both the Plenum document as well as the political line have recognised the Godavari Valley resistance struggle going on for the past 15 - 16 years in Andhra. In the light of this experience only the present resistance struggle in Karimnagar district has come forward. Based on this experience only today a strong peasant movement is coming forward in U.P. state. It is coming forward as another centre of struggle. These leaders will be saying emphatically that they are in favour of resistance struggle. They will be declaring that their aim is to protect the squads. Now by this letter they have written to Com. B.D. , they have proved themselves clearly that their claims in this respect are a total lie. See their words : "the theory of resistance struggle of Pulla Reddy is a leftist theory. It is opposed to the mass line of the Party. It is against Mao's teachings". ----- "It is wrong to presume that the struggle of the squads is the resistance struggle".

Here is a point to be noted. The last March C.C. resolution has once again declared its confidence in the resistance struggle. It is strange to paint the C.C. resolution as Pulla Reddy's own formula. It is more foolish to oppose it on that basis.

In the path of the struggle there is ample clarification about how to develop the resistance struggle. To implement that only efforts are going on both in Andhra as well as in other states. All this, in their view, is against mass line. All this, in their view, is leftism and against Mao's teachings!

The path of struggle has clearly stated the necessary Programme to develop the resistance struggle. In this what aspect, to them, is against mass line? and against Mao's teachings. That they wouldn't say. They do not come forward for discussion on that basis. They have not placed in writing for discussions in the party. They have not even demanded for discussion

in the party.

The real thing is that they have lost confidence in the resistance struggle. In 1978 - 79 itself their leaders had said this. Gradually they have degraded. Their whole formula of struggle is building of mass organisation, agitation on economic demands and building of voluntary organisations. It is limited to these three aspects. Their argument is that with these three things the consciousness of armed struggle will grow among the people. Their argument is nothing but the argument of revisionists and neo-revisionists. That was why the C.C. had rejected their arguments. It had reiterated its confidence in the resistance struggle. That is why they are angry with the C.C. That is why they are angry with the resistance struggle.

They have now come out clearly with their opposition to the squads working under the leadership of our party in Andhra. All these things they had written clearly in their 85 pages document and distributed openly. C.C. had rejected all these arguments. It has clearly expressed its confidence in the resistance struggle.

At the time of discussions in the C.C., Pyla had told with another C.C. member that he was thinking whether the squads in Andhra should be continued or abolished. Now the cover of that 'thinking' is over. They have come out with their opposition to the squads. Till now they have been pacifying the cadres behind them by stating that they want to continue the squads and protect them. All the while they have been deceiving their cadres. Let us hope that atleast now the cadres with them will realise the true nature of their leaders.

### **Opposed to Ideological Struggle :**

Wrong theories, rightism and leftism will be entering often into a revolutionary movement. Based on Marxism-Leninism ideological struggle against them should be conducted and they should be defeated. Then only the revolutionary movement could advance. This is the lesson the history has taught us. That is why we are on the one hand opposing the trends of left deviation. At the same time our party is continuing its ideological fight against the more dangerous trend of right deviation.

Today some strange and odd trends have come forward among revolutionaries. Some among them are prattling that our country has got political independence, it has got some independence. They are saying that a political united front should be formed with ruling classes, revisionists and neo-revisionists. They want a bourgeois election alliance with them.

**Our Party is opposed to them. That is why our party is conducting ideological fight on these issues.**

**This clique is against to conduct a direct ideological struggle against the trend of right deviation both among the revolutionary groups and in our party. They have no guts to say this openly.**

**One among the arguments is that on basic aspects there is unity among revolutionary groups. This, the Madala clique had written in their 85 page document. This argument had been rejected by our C.C. Now in 'Pra. Pandha' they have written that there is unity on 14 basic aspects among communist revolutionaries. For this they have borrowed from Com. B.D. book. But Com B.D. in his book had said that all other groups have been telling these 14 basic aspects(or points) only in words. He had clearly stated in his book stating that they are going against them in practice. He had stated that one by one these groups are acting in practice against these basic aspects. He criticised sharply the practice of each revolutionary group. But these people are not bothered about what Com. B.D. had said in this regard.**

**The resolution passed by the C.C. in 1982 had categorically condemned the slogans like "united front against autocratic rule", "united front against dictatorial rule" and "Patriotic and democratic united front", since they are all the reflection of the right deviation trend. It had categorically condemned these slogans stating that they are 'harmful to the Agrarian revolution'. The resolution had categorically declared stating "bourgeois election alliance and agrarian revolutionary struggle are irreconcilable with one another". But they are not concerned with them.**

**A Party's character will not be decided by what it says. It's practice and the results of that practice decide the character of that party. This is the Marxist-Leninist Principle. If this principle is discarded, then all the parties of the Indian ruling classes will have to be recognised as "socialist" parties. Why because they are all talking in favour of "Socialism". but in practice they are proving themselves as parties of big bourgeoisie and big landlords.**

**That is why, what is primary is not the words of revolutionary groups but their political slogans and practice. Based on this only the C.C. resolution has declared that right deviation has entered into some groups.**

**They had written in their 85 pages document that there is unanimity on the basic aspects among the revolutionary groups and distributed it openly. The C.C. has rejected this. That is why they are angry with the C.C. resolution.**

tion.

**Their second argument.** They have found out that the entire ideological struggle our party had conducted with other groups in the past was nothing but vulgar abuses! They had written this in their 85 pages document and distributed. C.C has rejected this. History has proved that the struggles carried on in all the states were correct. The 1981 Plenum document had clarified this. The present C.C. resolution also has clarified this. Since their argument did not get approval they are angry with the C.C. resolution.

All are seeing that in the name of these arguments they are going around carrying the arguments of Satyanarayan Singh and D.V. Rao. Accepting the resolutions in the past and now rejecting them - this is their political morality. No reason is necessary for this. No discussions are necessary. They have created another lie against us stating that we consider our party only as a revolutionary party and consider others as so called revolutionaries. Like that they want to gain the sympathy of other groups. But this is a total lie. Till now, as per the issues being discussed in our journals and according to the context, we are definitely using the words "so called revolutionaries" as far as Singh-Nandi clique and Madala-Narayana Swamy clique, which recently became the camp followers of Singh-Nandi clique, are concerned. As far as the other groups are concerned, we are only opposing the trends of left and right deviations in them.

By declaring again and again that they are committed to C.C. resolutions, Pyla-Madala clique cannot deceive anybody. See what they had written in their letter to Com. B.D. "We are yet to know about the methods of work and politics of different groups. Only after such a consideration we will be able to make specific criticism about any group".

What is its meaning? Does this not clarify that they are against to the last Plenum document and the 1982 C.C. resolutions? Then, is it not cheating to declare that they are abiding by the party line?

## **Link with the Conspirators**

Singh-Nandi clique had split the party then. Madala clique by that time itself has started to sail with the politics of Singh-Nandi clique. They have written with tech names the politics of Singh-Nandi clique as their alternative documents and presented them in the conferences. But they were defeated. Since then the C.C. knows that these people are having secret contacts with Singh-Nandi clique. In the recent time some more secrets have come out. All these things have come out in the month of

**March after the C.C. meeting. The Link between Madala and SN-Nandi clique got exposed. That is why the C.C. centre and the editorial in the central organ have exposed the attempt of these conspirators. For the past three months they have been propagating that this editorial has nothing to do with the higher committees and that it is of Pulla Reddy's own article. They have been trying to cover up the truth. But truth cannot be suppressed. They have expressed their anger against C.C. centre for writing that editorial in their letter written to Com. B.D. They are wailing stating "It is an aspect of tragedy that both of you have signed it".**

**The fact is that they have been trying to conceal the truth by stating that the editorial is that of Pulla Reddy's own and the higher committees have nothing to do with it as he wrote it without the knowledge of the higher committees. But these people know that the three comrades have written jointly this editorial. Even then they have been telling lies.**

**They have expressed their anguish stating that this editorial has made allegations against comrades who are in the party. The truth is that Pyla knows well that some in the party (Madala and his Nizamabad and Adilabad friends) are having secret links with Singh-Nandi clique. The secret letters and the couriers carrying news between them are known to Pyla. These things have come for discussion in the P.C. Even then Pyla has refused to accept this truth. He has been covering up their secret relations.**

**Madala's going to Calcutta in July 1983, his conducting secret talks with the leaders of S.N-Nandi clique through others and his proposal to this clique for talks between the two parties are known to Pyla. This fact was told by Madala himself in the P.C. meeting. Pyla not bothered about who has given this power to Madala. One who thinks politically can understand the link between the secret talks in Calcutta and the disputes that have arisen after that in the party. Nobody could think that the meeting of Singh-Nandi clique taking place in the same dates in the same area where the C.C. meeting took place is accidental. We have got ample evidence with us to prove that Madala has arranged this. That is why the C.C. centre has cautioned the party about this. This additional information was known to the C.C. after the C.C. meeting was over. That is why an editorial had to be written specially.**

**Not only this, for the first time Madala has raised the slogan of "co-ordination committee" among revolutionaries. He raised this slogan in 1974. In 1980 he again raised it. Now the same slogan is being given by Singh-Nandi clique. This is revealing the political and organisational link between them. But Pyla does not like to see this link. Perhaps he must also**

be a partner in this conspiracy or he must have fallen a prey to it. He could not conceal this.

## **Opposed to Discipline**

If we see their letter and their writings, it would be clear that they are against the political line and the struggle path being followed by the party. They have been deceiving all the while stating that they are abiding by the political line and the struggle path of our party. They are saying that they are only opposing Chandra Pulla Reddy's dictatorship. They are telling that they are abiding by the discipline of the party.

After hearing the disputes that have arisen in Andhra, and the disputes that have arisen over the resolutions, ideological, political issues and the path etc., the C.C. meeting held in March has given its verdict.

Pyla had explained for full one day on various issues in the C.C. After hearing him only the C.C. had given its verdict. It had passed resolutions on various issues. Pyla and Madala may not like these resolutions. They may again raise discussion on them in C.C. But they have given up the correct method. Please observe some of their "good words".

"The C.C. has acted as if it has no backbone. It has acted according to the orders of Pulla Reddy".

"We have explained to the C.C. that some Andhra P.C. members, and some C.C. members, including General Secretary, are responsible for Andhra crisis. The C.C. has failed to take any action against them.----- The C.C. has not seriously considered the opinions of the majority members of Andhra P.C. The C.C. has not studied both the documents relating to the disputes. Nobody has thought that the highest body of Marxist-Leninist party would act so light-heartedly".

"The resolution passed by the C.C. on Nirmala and the support extended to it by the C.C. members have proved that the C.C. members are spineless and have no courage".

The allegation that the C.C. members have not even read their writings is a total lie. The C.C. meeting was held for 5 days. For full one day the documents relating to two sides arguments were heard for one full day. Pyla is forgetting that he himself commended the C.C. members for hearing him patiently. For the rest of three days the C.C. has discussed Andhra



dispute only.

is their statement that the C.C., which has discussed and passed the resolutions, has no backbone in accordance with party discipline? The two C.C. members from Andhra have agreed for all the resolutions. They have cooperated to pass all the resolutions unanimously. But after returning from the C.C. they have started propagating against the resolutions openly.

Why? Out of their 8 P.C. members Madala is in dominant position. Pyla and Chandram who have no base of their own turned their backs. They have surrendered to Madala clique. The letter written to Com. B.D. is confirming this.

They have started their propaganda that the General Secretary is conducting special meetings in Andhra.

What is the reality? The General Secretary had declared in the C.C. after the resolutions were passed, that he was going to Andhra to get the resolutions implemented. The whole C.C. had accepted this. Thus with the approval of C.C., the General Secretary has toured Andhra to make efforts to see that the resolutions are implemented. They could not but accept this fact in their letter to Com B.D. see their words : "When the permission was sought to meet Rajanna and Madhu, It was declared that as General Secretary he has got powers to go to any state and to meet anybody". By writing these words they themselves are accepting that the General Secretary had toured Andhra with the permission of C.C. These words are proving that what they are propagating till now are false.

Andhra C.C.Ms had accepted the C.C resolutions on political aspects and on problems of struggle. Within 15 days of their acceptance, they have started opposing them.

To what principle of discipline does this conform? Can any party tolerate this organisational principle? They have issued pamphlets against the decisions of C.C. They have issued posters. They have started publishing their journals separately. To what principle of party discipline does this conform to? Can any party tolerate this? These actions are clearly showing that they want to go away from the party. They have indicated this in their letter written to Com. B.D. on 8-4-84 by stating "The special Congress of Andhra party must be held or else split in Andhra party cannot be avoided".

It is clear ... have decided to leave the party in the first week of April.

The C.C. has never rejected to hold the conference of Andhra party. It is they who are completely opposing the C.C. resolutions. It was the General Secretary who demanded them to put their views for discussion clearly. It is they who have been rejecting to do so from the beginning.

## **Writings against the Policies of Party.**

They are totally opposed to the policies of the party. Their saying that they are abiding by the policies of the party is bogus. But it is not possible to suppress the truth, that too in politics. Their coming out with their real politics in the second issue itself of their paper 'Praja Pandha' is a notable thing.

They have written that the path of resistance struggle of C.P. is leftism and today's important task is to defeat it. In support of this they have published in their journal the resolution passed by the Central Committee of CPC under the leadership of Com Mao in 1945 on leftism. No doubt that everybody should read this resolution and proper lessons should be drawn.

This resolution was translated into Telugu for the first time in 1970. The then Andhra P.C. in order to defeat charu's leftism got this resolution translated into Telugu and published.

Now they are saying that with this resolution they will fight against our party line. Its only meaning is that in their view today's struggle path of our party is nothing but leftism.

They have openly violated the party's decision of coming out of India-China's friendship Association. On the one hand they have declared that they are also "accepting" this resolution. They are declaring "We are asking the comrades in India-China friendship Association to break all their relations with that association."

This is what the Central Committee also has said. But in the P.C. resolution it is stated "The criticisms made against the method of working of that association are to the most extent ill-motivated". If this was true, then what was the necessity for the members of that association to break their relations with it? They are saying "That association is unable to achieve the aims expected of it by the party". Why it is unable to achieve them? They wouldn't answer this. The C.C. resolution has clarified why it is unable to achieve the aims. But this clarification by the C.C. in their view is ill-motivated criticism! This they are doing to hide the truth i.e., utilising

this association by Madala for his selfish interests.

The C.C. meeting held in March has clearly stated its stand towards communist party of China. It has stated that at present the right deviation has gained upper hand in the leadership of C.P.C. It means that we should not blindly follow the international policies of China. On every issue our party has to think independently and take its decisions.

In the second issue of their journal, 'Praja Pandha' while commenting on the China tour of American President, they have showered praises for a full page on the leadership of C.P.C.

With Reagan's tour nothing additional advantage is going to accrue to China. The third World countries also gained nothing. This tour may help Reagan in his election propaganda. This they do not want to take note of.

The agreement the Chinese leaders have made with Reagan relates to economic affairs and trade issues. It relates to exchange of technological knowledge. There is nothing new in this. China is making such agreements with Russia also. It is making such agreements with the countries of second world. That is why it is not only strange but odd thing to feel that the agreement reached with Reagan by the leaders of China as a strategic victory achieved by China.

This agreement also is only a paper agreement. Within the framework of this agreement. On every issue China has to discuss separately and individually with American private commercial institutions and American government. That is why it is foolish to say that this agreement will surely come into force at once.

Even if this agreement comes into force, it indicates that America is entering into the vast Chinese market on a big scale. This also indicates that on this issue America is competing with the second world countries and Russia. It is mere foolishness to depict that this competition is going to do some good to either China or to third world countries.

In Reagan's tour not a single political issue concerning the world has been discussed. World economic issues are not discussed. Taiwan issue, an issue concerning China, has not come for discussion. The neighbouring Korean issue has not come up for discussion. Middle East issues are not taken up for discussion. African issues have not come up for discussion. It is strange thing to depict the talks merely held on Sino-American trade is-

sues as a great thing in the absence of any discussions on world issues and political issues. America is a super-power. It is a country competing for world hegemony. China is a Socialist country with a population of 100 crores. Today the world is facing so many issues. The danger of world war is growing. In such a situation, a socialist country with 100 crores population without discussing world politics and problems, merely talking about the new loans, technical know how necessary for China and about the required trade growth is a thing that should be sharply criticised. It should be sharply criticised stating that this is a reflection of the right deviation of C.P.C. leadership. Keeping this aside and praising the C.P.C. leadership stating that due to the independent policy being pursued by China great achievements are accruing, is a foolish thing. In this context we want to say one more thing very clear. On the one hand the Chinese leaders are declaring that they are against the hegemonic policy of the two super powers. At the same time, on the other hand, they are declaring that their economic and trade relations as well as political and diplomatic relations with the two super powers are improving day by day. Is there any link between these two declarations? or are they contradictory to each other? If they are contradictory why they are contradictory? What is the link between the right deviation of CPC leadership and such declarations? All these aspects are to be thought over once again by everyone of us.

Not even three months over since they have accepted that at present right deviation has gained upperhand in the leadership of C.P.C. After the leadership of Madala was accepted by now this principle is given a go-by. Praises to China are coming forward where they are unnecessary. Criticisms where necessary are relegated to background. Let us wait and see carefully what ugly form it will take.

### **'Deceit cannot but get exposed'**

They cannot conceal their politics. Gradually all their politics cannot but come forward openly.

After the very moment they have occupied official positions in the party, they have started following deceitful methods. For this they have made division of work among themselves. Madala and his friends took it as their profession to violate party line and worked accordingly. They have resorted to put for discussion the points which were decided by the party congress again and again. They have resorted to all dirty methods to spread political and ideological confusion in the party. Wherever possible they have implemented their policies. Pyla-Chandram stood in support to them.

They have adopted a conciliatory line towards them. In practice they have been supporting the policies of Madala. Now they have also resorted to opportunism. On the other hand since past two years they have been deceiving the C.C. by declaring that they are abiding by the policies of C.C. But in politics such deceitful and wretched doings cannot be concealed for much of the time. That too revolutionary movement would certainly expose such deceitful and wretched doings. Now they are facing the same fate.

The 85 pages document written and distributed by them five or six months back had opened the eyes of comrades in Andhra. They were able to grasp the class collaborationist policies hidden in it. They had rejected them and their politics. Their letter dated 8-6-84 has opened the eyes of C.C. comrades. They have understood their real politics. They could understand that they are class collaborationist policies. That is why the C.C. centre has now declared "It is clear that these two people have resorted to conceal, distort and revise the unanimous resolutions of the Central Committee".

The C.C. has declared that their actions are against "the organisational principles and discipline". It has declared "These people have resorted to not only degrade the prestige of the General Secretary but the prestige of the whole party, CPI(ML)". It has also declared that with this "they are committing their political suicide. They have isolated themselves from the whole revolutionary movement. It is clear that they have decided to be away from the risks and difficulties of revolutionary movement".

The General Secretary could not but take necessary step at once to stop their disruptive activities. That is why he has suspended the P.C. under their leadership. Formed the new P.C. The Present centre of the C.C. has declared on 18-6-84 supporting all the steps taken by the General Secretary to defeat right deviation in Andhra and to safeguard the policies of the party.

### **The Whirlpool of Contradictions :**

Pyla and Madala have fallen into the ditch they have dug up. They are overswelled with joy seeing their majority in the P.C. They have overestimated their strength. But the majority members of Andhra party, who could understand their deceit have rejected their policies. The C.C. meeting held in last March has rejected their wrong policies. The C.C. centre has now come forward fully supporting the political and organisational decisions taken by the General Secretary in Andhra. That means that not a

single person remained in other states also to support these people. Thus, these people are isolated from the whole party.

Now what is left to them is M.N.S and his class collaborationist policies only. Politics of united front with ruling classes only. With these weapons they build revolutionary movement! It is upto them to think over whether they can swim Godavari by holding dog's tail or not. This is a thing that the party members, who have believed these people and got deceived, to think over.

That too, there is no political and ideological unity among them. Among them there are priests of "some independence". There are priests who want united front with ruling classes. There are priests of the policy of bourgeois political alliance. There are "great Pandits" who argue that the right deviation in the present leadership of C.P.C. is correct. There are also those "great people" who oppose these views only in words. Let us wait and see what political line all these people together will follow. At present they are enmeshed in mutual contradictory political whirlpool.

This will not stop here. Among them there are who want to get on with the support of C.P.C. There are some who want to join immediately in CPM. And some have already have established links with Singh-Nandi clique, which is kept away by all the revolutionaries. And some have turned their faces and looking towards D.V. Rao. We have to carefully observe which way they proceed with all these contradictions. The party members who are behind them have to think over this.

### **Party's differences with these disruptors :**

Pyla-Madala clique is an anti-party clique. It should be clearly understood on what points there are difference of opinions between them and the party.

1. Marxism-Leninism is the guideline for our party. To the anti-party clique worthless Deng-Tsiao-Peng thought is the guideline.
2. Our party is against to political united front with the ruling classes. To the disruptors that is the important aim.
3. Our party is against electoral alliances with bourgeois parties. For M.N.S. clique this is the main path of struggle.
4. For our party resistance struggle is the main thing. For anti-party

clique legalism and economism are the main things.

All the other differences of opinions have arisen out of the differences on this main ideological and political issues. This is the main reason for this clique to split away from our party. Nobody could stop those who want to runaway from the revolutionary movement. No political cover could hide it.

**Date : 10-6-84**

